# IMMEDIATE POST LECTURE FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT BY GOOGLE FORM

Annie Ng

## Why this project?

After 100 minutes of information overloaded Lecture

#### Students

 Face difficulty in digesting the material to get the important points of the lecture

#### Instructor

 Does not know how much the students understand from the lecture

#### What is that?

- Immediate Post Lecture Formative Assessment by Google Form= IPLFA
- 5 MCQs asked at the end of the lecture
- Questions post by Google Form® online
- Students answer by using their own mobile devices
- Given about 5-10 minutes to submit the answers
- When all students submit the answers, the summary of responses will be shown
- Instructor will go through answers immediately and elaborate on the questions that are poorly answered

## Let's experience it

- Take out your phone
- Go to internet
- Type <a href="http://goo.gl/3vbcjf">http://goo.gl/3vbcjf</a>
- Answer the questions
- Click Submit



## Research Questions

Main Questions

- 1. Does the student find IPLFA beneficial?
- 2. Will the use of IPLFA have a positive effect in students' test and exam result?

## Method

- IPLFA is administered since Week 1
- A 11 questions survey about IPLFA was collected in Week 8
- A test was held in week 10. The same set of question was used for the previous batch (without IPLFA) and the current batch (with IPLFA).
  - This is to ensure a fair comparison between two groups.
- At the end of the Semester (week 16). Students were asked "Do you think IPLFA is useful? Please elaborate".

- Collected set of 80 students data
  - Year 1 Pharmaceutical Sciences Student taking Basic Pharmaceutics
- Group included 28 male and 52 female
- More than 96 % in both gender agreed that IPFLA reinforced the knowledge learnt in the topic.
- More than 93% male students and 94% female students agreed that IPFLA help them to understand the topic better.

		Gender	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
		M	32%	64%	4%	0%
1	IPFLA is administered efficiently.	F	37%	59%	4%	0%
	It is engaging to have IPFLA in	M	32%	64%	0%	4%
2	Lecture.	F	29%	65%	8%	0%
		M	11%	36%	43%	11%
3	It is time consuming to do IPFLA.	F	2%	16%	69%	16%
	IPFLA helps me to understand the	M	32%	61%	7%	0%
4	tonic hetter	F	22%	73%	6%	0%
	IPFLA reinforces my knowledge about	M	29%	68%	4%	0%
5	the topic taught.	F	25%	73%	4%	0%
	IPFLA helps me to do revision on the	M	14%	61%	25%	0%
6	topic.	F	20%	71%	12%	0%
	Google Form is an appropriate tool to	M	21%	71%	4%	4%
	conduct IPFLA.	F	39%	59%	2%	0%
		М	14%	54%	25%	7%
8	IPFLA should be used in all lectures.	F	24%	51%	25%	2%
	IPFLA helps me to realise the misconceptions I may have	М	21%	61%	14%	4%
9	immediately.	F	18%	71%	14%	0%
	The time given to complete IPFLA is	M	18%	75%	7%	0%
10	enough.	F	16%	80%	6%	0%
	The use of IPFLA makes me stay focus	M	29%	39%	29%	4%
11	during lecture.	F	10%	61%	31%	0%

 Despite the positive response, only 68% of the male students and 75% of the female students would like IPLFA to be in other lectures

- Factors affecting ??
  - Too much effort is required by student
  - Student wants to remain anonymous
  - Student feel bad when negative feedback is received
  - Not enough time to digest lecture content before IPFLA
  - Only apply to content base lecture

- End of the Semester (week 16) qualitative question on "Do you think IPLFA is useful? Please elaborate."
- Data is based on 80 students
- Total 3 students said "not useful", 2 out of this 3 preferred to have IPLFA before tutorial.

Useful	75.0%
Not useful	3.8%
Neutral	7.5%
No response	13.8%

 There is significant improvement of 3.53/50 marks in common test result between student with IPLFA and without IPLFA.

Group Statistics							Independent Samples Test								
	Student	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. E	rror Mean	Levene's								
Result	With IPLFA	68	34.485	5.7724		.7000	Equality of Variances			t-test for Equality of Means					
	Without IPLFA	86	30.953	6.9577		.7503					Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	95% Col Interva Differ	l of the
							F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)	20070000	200,000,000	Lower	Upper
					result	Equal variances assumed	1.843	.177	3.368	152	.001	3.5318	1.0486	1.4600	5.6036
						Equal variances not assumed			3.442	151.628	.001	3.5318	1.0261	1.5045	5.5591

- Students agree that IPLFA
  - Is administered efficiently (Q1)
  - Is engaging (Q2)
  - Reinforces knowledge (Q5)
  - Helps in revision (Q6)
- They are significantly correlated to higher score in IPLFA
- Scores of students who did better in IPLFA also significantly correlates to higher score in Test and Exam.

r(75) = 0.224, p<0.05

ations									
	IPFLA	CT	Exam				IPFLA	CT	Exam
Pearson Correlation	.276*	0.042	0.013			Pearson Correlation	0.204	0.08	-0.037
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.014	0.71	0.911		Q8	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.069	0.481	0.743
N	79	79	79			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	.238*	0.088	-0.089			Pearson Correlation	0.074	0.064	0.018
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.034	0.439	0.431		Q9	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.512	0.573	0.871
N	80	80	80			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	-0.098	-0.182	-0.026			Pearson Correlation	0.198	0.085	0.012
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.387	0.106	0.816		Q10	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.079	0.453	0.915
N	80	80	80			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	0.145	0.192	0.173			Pearson Correlation	0.218	0.076	0.01
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.203	0.09	0.126		Q11	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.052	0.501	0.931
N	79	79	79			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	.275*	0.171	0.209			Pearson Correlation	1	.293*	.245
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.014	0.129	0.063		IPFLA	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.008	0.029
N	80	80	80			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	.321**	0.006	-0.035			Pearson Correlation	.293**	1	.701*
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.004	0.96	0.76		CT	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.008		C
N	80	80	80			N	80	80	80
Pearson Correlation	0.175	0.044	0.044			Pearson Correlation	.245*	.701**	1
Sig. (2-tailed)	0.12	0.697	0.696		Exam	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.029	0	
N	80	80	80			N	80	80	80
				1		lation is significar			
	Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	Pearson Correlation         .276*           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014           N         79           Pearson Correlation         .238*           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034           N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.387           N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.203           N         79           Pearson Correlation         .275*           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014           N         80           Pearson Correlation         .321**           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.004           N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.175           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.175           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.12	Pearson Correlation         .276*         0.042           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71           N         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .238*         0.088           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439           N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106           N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.203         0.09           N         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .275*         0.171           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.129           N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .321**         0.006           N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.175         0.044           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.175         0.044           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.12         0.697	Pearson Correlation         .276*         0.042         0.013           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911           N         79         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .238*         0.088         -0.089           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106         0.816           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.203         0.09         0.126           N         79         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .275*         0.171         0.209           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.129         0.063           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .321**         0.006         -0.035           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.004         0.96         0.76           N         80         80	Pearson Correlation         .276°         0.042         0.013           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911           N         79         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .238°         0.088         -0.089           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106         0.816           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.203         0.09         0.126           N         79         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .275°         0.171         0.209           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.129         0.063           N         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .321°         0.006         -0.035           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.004         0.96         0.76           N         80         80         <	Pearson Correlation         .276'         0.042         0.013         Q8           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         Q8           N         79         79         79           Pearson Correlation         .238         0.088         -0.089           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9           N         80         80         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026         Q10           N         80         80         80         Q10           N         80         80         80         Q10           N         80         80         80         Q10           N         79         79         79         Q11           N         79         79         79         Q11           N         79         79         79         Q11           N         80         80         80         Rearson Correlation         0.014         0.129         0.063         IPFLA           N         80         80         80         80         Rearson Correlation         0.175         0.044 </td <td>Pearson Correlation         276¹ Correlation         0.042 O.013         Pearson Correlation           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014 O.71 O.911         Q8         Sig. (2-tailed)           N         79         79         N           Pearson Correlation         238 O.088 O.089 O.089 O.089 O.089 O.076         Pearson Correlation           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034 O.439 O.431 O.431 O.431 O.431 O.439 O.431 O.</td> <td>Pearson Correlation         276' O.042         0.042         0.013         Pearson Correlation         0.204           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         Q8         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.069           N         70         79         79         N         80           Pearson Correlation         238' O.088         -0.089         Pearson Correlation         0.074           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.512           N         80         80         80         N         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.089         -0.182         -0.026         O.026         Correlation         0.512           N         80         80         80         N         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.387         0.106         0.816         Q10         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.079           N         80         80         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173         Correlation         0.218           N         79         79         79<td>Pearson Correlation         276'         0.042         0.013         Pearson Correlation         0.204         0.08           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         0.08         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.069         0.481           N         79         79         79         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .238         0.088         -0.089         Pearson Correlation         0.074         0.064           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.512         0.573           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026         Correlation         0.198         0.085           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106         0.816         Q10         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.079         0.453           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173         Correlation         0.218         0.076           N         79         79         79</td></td>	Pearson Correlation         276¹ Correlation         0.042 O.013         Pearson Correlation           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014 O.71 O.911         Q8         Sig. (2-tailed)           N         79         79         N           Pearson Correlation         238 O.088 O.089 O.089 O.089 O.089 O.076         Pearson Correlation           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034 O.439 O.431 O.431 O.431 O.431 O.439 O.431 O.	Pearson Correlation         276' O.042         0.042         0.013         Pearson Correlation         0.204           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         Q8         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.069           N         70         79         79         N         80           Pearson Correlation         238' O.088         -0.089         Pearson Correlation         0.074           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.512           N         80         80         80         N         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.089         -0.182         -0.026         O.026         Correlation         0.512           N         80         80         80         N         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.387         0.106         0.816         Q10         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.079           N         80         80         80         N         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173         Correlation         0.218           N         79         79         79 <td>Pearson Correlation         276'         0.042         0.013         Pearson Correlation         0.204         0.08           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         0.08         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.069         0.481           N         79         79         79         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .238         0.088         -0.089         Pearson Correlation         0.074         0.064           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.512         0.573           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026         Correlation         0.198         0.085           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106         0.816         Q10         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.079         0.453           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173         Correlation         0.218         0.076           N         79         79         79</td>	Pearson Correlation         276'         0.042         0.013         Pearson Correlation         0.204         0.08           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.014         0.71         0.911         0.08         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.069         0.481           N         79         79         79         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         .238         0.088         -0.089         Pearson Correlation         0.074         0.064           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.034         0.439         0.431         Q9         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.512         0.573           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         -0.098         -0.182         -0.026         Correlation         0.198         0.085           Sig. (2-tailed)         0.387         0.106         0.816         Q10         Sig. (2-tailed)         0.079         0.453           N         80         80         80         N         80         80           Pearson Correlation         0.145         0.192         0.173         Correlation         0.218         0.076           N         79         79         79

## Conclusion

- The result is encouraging and promising
- Students found that IPLFA is engaging and useful in understanding of the topics
- The current batch with IPLFA have better test result than the previous batch without IPLFA
- There is a statistically positive significance correlation between IPLFA and the test and exam result.

## **Future Direction**

- A quasi experiment should be conducted to investigate the effectiveness of IPLFA in improving student result.
- The study of the usefulness and effectiveness of IPLFA can be done in other discipline or years
- Study the effect of IPLFA when conducted before the tutorial
- A qualitative studies can be done on the students who disagree with IPLFA in other modules so as to find out the reasons.

## Questions?

